

Sunday Wrap

Happy Sunday,

This past week we learned that eurozone GDP expanded by 0.4% qoq during the first quarter of the year, or 1.5% annualized. This was a bit better than expected, but it was still only half the (also stronger than expected) growth rate of 3.2% in the US.

Of course, these are only preliminary estimates of GDP, and if history is any guide, then the eurozone number will end up being revised up by 0.15pp to 1.65%, while the US number will come down by 0.5pp to 2.7%, hence narrowing the gap slightly. If, furthermore, you consider that the US economy is still fueled by the biggest peace-time "top-of-the-cycle" fiscal expansion in history with huge public debt creation as a result, and that it's now been eight years with US nominal yields below US nominal GDP growth, which has fueled a substantial corporate debt expansion in the US (most of which has been used for stock buybacks, etc., rather than investment); frankly, I think I'll take the eurozone's 1.5% over the US 3.2%.

That said, it's not only in the US one could have wished for a more enlightened fiscal policy approach. Running huge fiscal deficits with full employment is surely irresponsible, but pursuing a constant fiscal tightening while suffering sizable output gaps, as has been the case in the eurozone since 2010, is hardly better – not least as the deficit reduction has been achieved, to a large extent, by killing investment.

In today's note, I'll discuss what the 1.5% annualized eurozone GDP growth in Q1 implies for policies:

- I'll first argue that the 1.5% growth rate in Q1 is unlikely to be maintained during the next four quarters – because of global forces.
- While this implies a need for policy stimulus across the eurozone (to eliminate the output gap), and with the ECB running low on (politically acceptable) tools, fiscal policy must be used to some extent.
- More importantly, however, trend growth needs to be raised, which will require both national and pan-European initiatives.

Erik F. Nielsen
Global Head of CIB Research
Group Chief Economist
(UniCredit Bank, London)
+44 207 826-1765
erik.nielsen@unicredit.eu

Bloomberg: UCGR, UCFR
Internet: www.unicreditresearch.eu

1. The bounce-back in eurozone GDP growth, but probably not here to stay

The first estimate of eurozone GDP growth in Q1 was a decent 0.4% qoq, or annualized 1.5%. We know that Spain expanded by another impressive 2.9%, France and Austria by 1.2%, Italy 0.9% and Belgium by 0.7% (all annualized). The numbers for Germany, the Netherlands and most of the smaller countries will be released during the next couple of weeks.

Whether the better-than-expected Q1 number means that the weakness in H2 2018 was just a technical blip (as we originally thought), or whether Q1 was a one-off good number in a bad series, is too early to tell with certainty. That said, my sense is that the pretty sound underlying fundamentals, as well as the ongoing monetary stimulus and the bit of fiscal expansion in the pipeline, will fuel the Euro area economy through the spring and summer months, before global forces then drag us down for a few quarters.

I'll first note the three question marks with respect to the Q1 number discussed by my colleague, Marco Valli, in his note following the data release:

First, there are signs that the industrial sector contributed to the acceleration in growth in Q1, but the manufacturing PMI has continued to decline into contraction territory, opening a gap between soft and hard data. This gap will almost certainly narrow again in coming months, but will that happen (primarily) via a softening of the hard data for industrial activity, or by an improvement in the survey data? If Thursday's Italian manufacturing PMI is anything to go by (strong recovery to 49.1 from 47.4, driven mostly by export orders), then it'll be more of the latter than of the former.

Second, the weather was unusually nice (higher temperatures than normal) in Q1. On the one hand, this would have boosted construction output while, on the other hand, it would likely also have lowered energy consumption. So far, we don't know which one was the more important, and hence how this balance will wash out in Q2.

Third, new car registrations rose strongly in Q1 (+7% qoq), erasing part of the big technical (WLTP) Q4 drop (-11% qoq). On the one hand, one should expect this bounce to continue to make up for the entire Q4 2018 impact, but the latest monthly data on car registrations suggest that the recovery might have started to flatten out at the end of 1Q19.

But there are also two major global factors at play:

First, it's almost certain that global growth, including in the eurozone and in the US, was being boosted in Q1 by Chinese stimulus. As my colleague, Daniel Vernazza, has shown in our *Chart of the Week* ([Chart of the Week – We are all dancing to the Chinese credit cycle](#)), during the last ten years, the world has been dancing quite beautifully to the tune of the Chinese credit cycle: Whenever the Chinese ramp up credit growth, the global manufacturing PMI picks up with a lag of around nine months, so the latest upturn in China's credit cycle explains a fair part of these better Q1 numbers.

But while the effects of the Chinese stimulus are now coming through, there are indications out of Beijing that things may be shifting again. The large expansion in total social financing has kept money rates low, but as the PBoC shifted towards less expansion during April (by cutting some of its long-term funding to banks), both short and long rates have moved higher. Not surprisingly, the Chinese April PMI showed some cooling of the prevailing optimism.

Second, I keep worrying about the US (and not only because of its misguided president.) As noted above, the US economy is living on fiscal steroids these days, and the party will end at some point.

The timing of the slowdown is tough to pinpoint, of course, and Q1 was certainly a positive surprise (although volatile net exports and inventories accounted for more than half of growth); but on present information, I continue to think the US will see a couple of quarters next year with about zero growth – and I wouldn't be the least surprised if the slope starts in the present quarter. Wednesday's drop in the ISM manufacturing index to 52.8, the lowest since October 2016, could be a sign.

2. Policy stimulus across the eurozone is needed to eliminate the output gap, and the ECB cannot do it all

I accept the considerable uncertainty with respect to the size of output gaps (and I tip my hat to the IIF's Robin Brooks for his crusade against the way the shifting estimates have been used – inappropriately – to guide policies), but I think it's safe to say that the eurozone still suffers from a sizable output gap, regardless of its precise size. If you dispute this, you'll have to argue that the 7.7% unemployment rate represents a natural unemployment level, which, to me, would be an absurd argument (if not outright disrespectful to many of the unemployed).

So, with spare capacity, there is a strong argument for policy stimulus – and we are getting it, although primarily from the monetary side. The central European policy story during the past ten years has indeed been one of misguided fiscal policy.

The fiscal authorities have been too reluctant to participate in overall stimulus efforts for way too long. As a result, monetary policy has been over-burdened with severe consequences for a whole host of things, including income distribution (or burden sharing) between capital owners and non-capital owners, and for the profitability of the financial sector. In my assessment, this heavy skewing of the policy mix, as well as the composition of fiscal policy, has contributed substantially to the rise of populism and nationalism across Europe that, in turn, threatens further policy mistakes. (The handling of the refugee crisis and unregulated social media account for the rest of the reasons for the unfortunate emergence of the dreadful "politics of resentment".)

The good news is that things have begun to move on the fiscal front, although so far it is to provide tax relief and to boost public consumption, rather than for investment. In Germany, the fiscal impulse this year is close to 0.4% of GDP and, even more importantly, there is now a serious discussion in Berlin about the possible adjustment of the (highly inappropriate) debt brake. In France, the 2019 budget included a 0.2% of GDP expansion, and Macron's additional pledge this past week, including the EUR 5bn in tax breaks, add up to another 0.4% of GDP. In Italy, where the fiscal room is virtually non-existent, the 2019 budget still includes a 0.2% of GDP expansion. Other member states have introduced broadly similar changes.

Fiscal issues are complex, and I don't mean to suggest anything else, but I would suggest a two-pronged approach: First, all member states with fiscal room (which is virtually everyone apart from Italy) should introduce an immediate tax cut for the working poor, and second, a coordinated approach to adjust the overall fiscal composition to bring about a fairer and more growth-oriented policy ... which I'll address in the next section.

My point is this: In spite of the decent Q1 GDP number, the eurozone continues to need more stimulus than it has seen in recent years, and fiscal policy needs to be used to take the pressure off an already over-extended monetary policy.

The good news is that the better growth numbers take a bit of pressure off the ECB to add further stimulus at this time, which means that they can focus their June meeting on the terms of the TLTRO, while postponing any decision on tiering of the depo rate.

3. Trend growth needs to be raised, which will require both national and pan-European initiatives

I have discussed the issue of trend growth before, but it can never be said enough: Europe desperately needs reforms to boost potential growth – and to make it more resilient. In this endeavor, nothing is more important than boosting investment, which will require two things: Raise public investment (by a lot!) and facilitate a smooth and proper allocation of the savings surplus across the eurozone, while creating incentives for more risk-taking by savers.

The bizarre developments in investment in the eurozone – and particularly the staggering deficiency of policy choices – is well illustrated in a simple chart published this past Monday by the ECB (in their quarterly “*Euro area economic and financial developments by institutional sector*”, Chart 1 – the report is here: [link](#)).

The chart shows quarterly saving, net investment by sector, and net lending to the rest of the world in the eurozone. In a stunning display of irresponsible fiscal priorities, it shows that while corporations' non-financial investments (net) gradually increased since 2012 to now over EUR 300bn (in Q4) and households' non-financial investments also moved slightly higher, governments – which were, and continue to be, the single biggest beneficiary of the low yields generated by ECB policies – chose to keep their net investments unchanged, quarter by quarter, for the past six years! This is nothing less than stunning in this rapidly changing world: A policy of no net public investment to facilitate job creation or, more broadly, to prepare the next generation for the digital world.

Europe desperately needs to change its fiscal rules to be able to differentiate between public consumption and investment. Without a doubt, the complete killing off of net public investment in recent years is the result of a (partly misguided) obsession with the bottom line budget in an era of difficult political choices, not least to “pay off” pensioners. But it also reflects a strange vision of what is needed for the next generation.

The treaty says that the Commission should take into account whether a breach of the deficit or debt limits is caused by public spending or investment, but I don't recall ever having read a verdict on this separation. A version of the “Golden Rule” (investment to be treated differently than consumption) should definitely be applied and if you ask me, education (which is categorized as public consumption not investment) should get special treatment – and preference in the fiscal rules.

But there is also a need for a pan-European approach to expand investments, both by the official and private sectors. I have written before about the attractiveness of Banque de France Governor Villeroy de Galhau's call for an “Investment Union”, now also endorsed by Bundesbank President Jens Weidmann.

Of course, for that to happen, we'll need clear-sighted leaders both in the capitals and appointed to the European Commission, Council and ECB. The European appointments will all be on the agenda as soon as we get through the European Parliament elections in three weeks; a topic I'll return to next Sunday.

Best,

Erik

Legal Notices

Glossary

A comprehensive glossary for many of the terms used in the report is available on our website: [link](#)

Disclaimer

Our recommendations are based on information obtained from or are based upon public information sources that we consider to be reliable, but for the completeness and accuracy of which we assume no liability. All information, estimates, opinions, projections and forecasts included in this report represent the independent judgment of the analysts as of the date of the issue unless stated otherwise. We reserve the right to modify the views expressed herein at any time without notice. Moreover, we reserve the right not to update this information or to discontinue it altogether without notice. This report may contain links to websites of third parties, the content of which is not controlled by UniCredit Bank. No liability is assumed for the content of these third-party websites.

This report is for information purposes only and (i) does not constitute or form part of any offer for sale or subscription of or solicitation of any offer to buy or subscribe for any financial, money market or investment instrument or any security, (ii) is neither intended as such an offer for sale or subscription of or solicitation of an offer to buy or subscribe for any financial, money market or investment instrument or any security nor (iii) as marketing material within the meaning of applicable prospectus law. The investment possibilities discussed in this report may not be suitable for certain investors depending on their specific investment objectives and time horizon or in the context of their overall financial situation. The investments discussed may fluctuate in price or value. Investors may get back less than they invested. Fluctuations in exchange rates may have an adverse effect on the value of investments. Furthermore, past performance is not necessarily indicative of future results. In particular, the risks associated with an investment in the financial, money market or investment instrument or security under discussion are not explained in their entirety.

This information is given without any warranty on an "as is" basis and should not be regarded as a substitute for obtaining individual advice. Investors must make their own determination of the appropriateness of an investment in any instruments referred to herein based on the merits and risks involved, their own investment strategy and their legal, fiscal and financial position. As this document does not qualify as an investment recommendation or as a direct investment recommendation, neither this document nor any part of it shall form the basis of, or be relied on in connection with or act as an inducement to enter into, any contract or commitment whatsoever. Investors are urged to contact their bank's investment advisor for individual explanations and advice.

Neither UniCredit Bank AG, UniCredit Bank AG London Branch, UniCredit Bank AG Milan Branch, UniCredit Bank AG Vienna Branch, UniCredit Bank Austria AG, UniCredit Bulbank, Zagrebačka banka d.d., UniCredit Bank Czech Republic and Slovakia, ZAO UniCredit Bank Russia, UniCredit Bank Czech Republic and Slovakia Slovakia Branch, UniCredit Bank Romania, UniCredit Bank AG New York Branch nor any of their respective directors, officers or employees nor any other person accepts any liability whatsoever (in negligence or otherwise) for any loss howsoever arising from any use of this document or its contents or otherwise arising in connection therewith.

This report is being distributed by electronic and ordinary mail to professional investors, who are expected to make their own investment decisions without undue reliance on this publication, and may not be redistributed, reproduced or published in whole or in part for any purpose.

Responsibility for the content of this publication lies with:

UniCredit Group and its subsidiaries are subject to regulation by the European Central Bank

a) UniCredit Bank AG (UniCredit Bank, Munich or Frankfurt), Arabellastraße 12, 81925 Munich, Germany, (also responsible for the distribution pursuant to §34b WpHG). Regulatory authority: "BaFin" – Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht, Marie-Curie-Str. 24-28, 60439 Frankfurt, Germany.

b) UniCredit Bank AG London Branch (UniCredit Bank, London), Moor House, 120 London Wall, London EC2Y 5ET, United Kingdom. Regulatory authority: "BaFin" – Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht, Marie-Curie-Str. 24-28, 60439 Frankfurt, Germany and subject to limited regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority, 12 Endeavour Square, London E20 1JN, United Kingdom and Prudential Regulation Authority 20 Moorgate, London, EC2R 6DA, United Kingdom. Further details regarding our regulatory status are available on request.

c) UniCredit Bank AG Milan Branch (UniCredit Bank, Milan), Piazza Gae Aulenti, 4 - Torre C, 20154 Milan, Italy, duly authorized by the Bank of Italy to provide investment services. Regulatory authority: "Bank of Italy", Via Nazionale 91, 00184 Roma, Italy and Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht, Marie-Curie-Str. 24-28, 60439 Frankfurt, Germany.

d) UniCredit Bank AG Vienna Branch (UniCredit Bank, Vienna), Rothschildplatz 1, 1020 Vienna, Austria. Regulatory authority: Finanzmarktaufsichtsbehörde (FMA), Otto-Wagner-Platz 5, 1090 Vienna, Austria and subject to limited regulation by the "BaFin" – Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht, Marie-Curie-Str. 24-28, 60439 Frankfurt, Germany. Details about the extent of our regulation by the Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht are available from us on request.

e) UniCredit Bank Austria AG (Bank Austria), Rothschildplatz 1, 1020 Vienna, Austria. Regulatory authority: Finanzmarktaufsichtsbehörde (FMA), Otto-Wagner-Platz 5, 1090 Vienna, Austria

f) UniCredit Bulbank, Sveta Nedelya Sq. 7, BG-1000 Sofia, Bulgaria. Regulatory authority: Financial Supervision Commission (FSC), 16 Budapeshta str., 1000 Sofia, Bulgaria

g) Zagrebačka banka d.d., Trg bana Josipa Jelačića 10, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia. Regulatory authority: Croatian Agency for Supervision of Financial Services, Franje Račkoga 6, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

h) UniCredit Bank Czech Republic and Slovakia, Želetavská 1525/1, 140 92 Praga 4, Czech Republic. Regulatory authority: CNB Czech National Bank, Na Příkopě 28, 115 03 Praga 1, Czech Republic

i) ZAO UniCredit Bank Russia (UniCredit Russia), Prechistsenskaya nab. 9, RF-119034 Moscow, Russia. Regulatory authority: Federal Service on Financial Markets, 9 Leninsky prospekt, Moscow 119991, Russia

j) UniCredit Bank Czech Republic and Slovakia, Slovakia Branch, Šancova 1/A, SK-813 33 Bratislava, Slovakia. Regulatory authority: CNB Czech National Bank, Na Příkopě 28, 115 03 Praha 1, Czech Republic and subject to limited regulation by the National Bank of Slovakia, Imricha Karvaša 1, 813 25 Bratislava, Slovakia. Regulatory authority: National Bank of Slovakia, Imricha Karvaša 1, 813 25 Bratislava, Slovakia

k) UniCredit Bank Romania, Bucharest 1F Expozitiei Boulevard, 012101 Bucharest 1, Romania. Regulatory authority: National Bank of Romania, 25 Lipscani Street, 030031, 3rd District, Bucharest, Romania

l) UniCredit Bank AG New York Branch (UniCredit Bank, New York), 150 East 42nd Street, New York, NY 10017. Regulatory authority: "BaFin" – Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht, Marie-Curie-Str. 24-28, 60439 Frankfurt, Germany and New York State Department of Financial Services, One State Street, New York, NY 10004-1511 Further details regarding our regulatory status are available on request.

ANALYST DECLARATION

The analyst's remuneration has not been, and will not be, geared to the recommendations or views expressed in this report, neither directly nor indirectly.

All of the views expressed accurately reflect the analyst's views, which have not been influenced by considerations of UniCredit Bank's business or client relationships.

POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTERESTS

You will find a list of keys for company specific regulatory disclosures on our website <https://www.unicreditresearch.eu/index.php?id=disclaimer>.

RECOMMENDATIONS, RATINGS AND EVALUATION METHODOLOGY

You will find the history of rating regarding recommendation changes as well as an overview of the breakdown in absolute and relative terms of our investment ratings, and a note on the evaluation basis for interest-bearing securities on our website <https://www.unicreditresearch.eu/index.php?id=disclaimer> and <https://www.unicreditresearch.eu/index.php?id=legalnotices>.

ADDITIONAL REQUIRED DISCLOSURES UNDER THE LAWS AND REGULATIONS OF JURISDICTIONS INDICATED

You will find a list of further additional required disclosures under the laws and regulations of the jurisdictions indicated on our website <https://www.unicreditresearch.eu/index.php?id=disclaimer>.

E 19/2

UniCredit Research*

Macro Research



Erik F. Nielsen
Group Chief Economist
Global Head of CIB Research
+44 207 826-1765
erik.nielsen@unicredit.eu



Dr. Ingo Heimig
Head of Research Operations
& Regulatory Controls
+49 89 378-13952
ingo.heimig@unicredit.de

Head of Macro Research



Marco Valli
Head of Macro Research
Chief European Economist
+39 02 8862-0537
marco.valli@unicredit.eu

European Economics Research



Dr. Andreas Rees
Chief German Economist
+49 69 2717-2074
andreas.rees@unicredit.de



Dr. Loredana Federico
Chief Italian Economist
+39 02 8862-0534
loredanamaría.federico@unicredit.eu



Stefan Bruckbauer
Chief Austrian Economist
+43 50505-41951
stefan.bruckbauer@unicreditgroup.at



Daniel Vernazza, Ph.D.
Chief UK & Senior Global Economist
+44 207 826-7805
daniel.vernazza@unicredit.eu



Tullia Bucco
Economist
+39 02 8862-0532
tullia.bucco@unicredit.eu



Edoardo Campanella
Economist
+39 02 8862-0522
edoardo.campanella@unicredit.eu



Walter Pudschedl
Economist
+43 50505-41957
walter.pudschedl@unicreditgroup.at



Chiara Silvestre
Economist
chiara.silvestre@unicredit.eu



Dr. Thomas Strobel
Economist
+49 89 378-13013
thomas.strobel@unicredit.de

US Economics Research



Dr. Harm Bandholz, CFA
Chief US Economist
+1 212 672-5957
harm.bandholz@unicredit.eu

EEMEA Economics Research



Dan Bucsa
Chief CEE Economist
+44 207 826-7954
dan.bucsa@unicredit.eu



Gökçe Çelik
Senior CEE Economist
+44 207 826-6077
gokce.celik@unicredit.eu



Mauro Giorgio Marrano
Senior CEE Economist
+43 50505-82712
mauro.giorgiomarrano@unicredit.de



Artem Arkhipov
Head, Macroeconomic Analysis
and Research, Russia
+7 495 258-7258
artem.arkhipov@unicredit.ru



Hrvoje Dolenc
Chief Economist, Croatia
+385 1 6006-678
hrvoje.dolenc@unicreditgroup.zaba.hr



Dr. Ágnes Halász
Chief Economist, Head of Economics and
Strategic Analysis, Hungary
+36 1 301-1907
agnes.halasz@unicreditgroup.hu



Ľubomír Koršňák
Chief Economist, Slovakia
+421 2 4950 2427
lubomir.korsnak@unicreditgroup.sk



Anca Maria Negrescu
Senior Economist, Romania
+40 21 200-1377
anca.negrescu@unicredit.ro



Kristofor Pavlov
Chief Economist, Bulgaria
+359 2 9269-390
kristofor.pavlov@unicreditgroup.bg



Pavel Sobíšek
Chief Economist, Czech Republic
+420 955 960-716
pavel.sobisek@unicreditgroup.cz

UniCredit Research, Corporate & Investment Banking, UniCredit Bank AG, Am Eisbach 4, D-80538 Munich, globalresearch@unicredit.de
Bloomberg: UCCR, Internet: www.unicreditresearch.eu

MR 19/1

*UniCredit Research is the joint research department of UniCredit Bank AG (UniCredit Bank, Munich or Frankfurt), UniCredit Bank AG London Branch (UniCredit Bank, London), UniCredit Bank AG Milan Branch (UniCredit Bank, Milan), UniCredit Bank New York (UniCredit Bank, New York), UniCredit Bank AG Vienna Branch (UniCredit Bank, Vienna), UniCredit Bank Austria AG (Bank Austria), UniCredit Bulbank, Zagrebačka banka d.d., UniCredit Bank Czech Republic and Slovakia, ZAO UniCredit Bank Russia (UniCredit Russia), UniCredit Bank Romania.